



Committee Members: Ann Lynn – Klamath County; Maureen Graham – Jackson County; Kim Miller – Options; Jan Kaplan – Curry County; Ginger Swan – Coos County

JBH Staff: Dave Bast, Bob Furlow, Mike Sewitsky, Patty Terhune, Karen Cady, Ann Ford, Frank Kennedy

Ann Lynn called the meeting to order at 10:03 p.m.

Topic	Key Discussion Points	Action/Task/Decision	Responsible Person	Due Date
General Discussion	<p>Prior to the topics on the agenda, the Mental Health Directors suggested to JBH that the County Mental Health Directors be more involved in the creation of policies as well as identifying why the policy is being created, what OAR's or contract requirement necessitate the policy. There should be more involvement by the Mental Health Directors as well as the County Quality Management staff prior to the policy being generated. Dave Bast explained that a lot of the policies being generated are a result of requirements in the MHO contract with the State. There is also a lot of pressure on the State by the Federal government. Dave Bast agreed that a dialogue of what is working and what's not working is indeed necessary. The group agreed that all parties should have some input and that it should be more of a team approach. The Mental Health Directors would like to see a different approach taken on items such as policy development and delegated reviews. The group discussed ways to improve the approach from both the county side as well as the JBH side. It was proposed that the critical issues of today's agenda be identified so they could be addressed and then perhaps schedule another meeting in two weeks to go over the more in-depth agenda items.</p>	N/A	N/A	N/A
Wraparound Project	<p>Dave Bast explained that Jackson and Josephine Counties are moving ahead with applying for the Wraparound Project being presented by the State. He explained that the project will require an ASO, Administrative Service Organization, which the two counties have suggested be JBH.</p> <p>He explained another State project that is being presented is an Adult Mental Health Initiative with a roll out date of July 1, 2010. He informed the Mental Health Directors that he has been asked to be on the planning committee for this project. This project shows the MHOs in the State as the ASO. He asked the Directors what position they would like to see JBH take on this project.</p> <p>The group discussed what affect it would potentially have on the Local Mental Health Authorities, which are currently the counties, and what county commissioners may have to say about this kind of change. There was also</p>	N/A	N/A	N/A

	<p>discussion on the model designs currently being presented by the State, the transitional issues, e.g. statutory changes, budget notes attached to the initiative and the administrative impact.</p> <p>Following discussion on the Adult Mental Health Initiative it was suggested that Dave Bast participate on the planning committee but that there not be any commitments to its region for participating until there is consensus amongst the parties in the JBH region.</p>			
Consent Calendar				
Approval of 10/05/09 Meeting Minutes	Not discussed.			
Reports				
JBH Board Update Report	Not discussed.			
JBH Oversight Responsibilities	Not discussed.			
2010 Meeting Calendar	Not discussed.			
Changes in Children's Utilization Management Plan and B1 Fee Schedule	<p>JBH provided a document that explains what Respite Services are and what rates are tied to those services. Karen Cady provided a detailed explanation of the services and changes made to the rates.</p> <p>The Mental Health Directors asked for time to review. Concern was expressed over it not showing indigent dollars for adult respite as a funding source. JBH stated that appears to be an error and would correct the document to show indigent dollars available for respite services. The group discussed in detail some of the processes around respite services. Following discussion on the explanation of respite services the group agreed that the Mental Health Directors would review the document and provide feedback and/or questions back to JBH for future discussion.</p>	N/A	N/A	N/A
Wraparound Demonstration Projects				
Discussion	Dave Bast explained that JBH has made some commitments to work with Josephine and Jackson Counties to be a part of a wraparound project. He asked if any of the other counties had any issues with this commitment. No other counties objected to this project.	N/A	N/A	N/A
Policies and Procedures to be presented to Executive Board for approval in February				
	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Credentialing Process 2. Critical and Reportable Incidents 3. Revised Fraud, Waste and Abuse 			

	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. TPA Delegation and Oversight 5. ECMU Referrals and Appeals 6. Adult Acute Care Utilization Review 7. Discharge Interviews <p>Discharge Interviews Dave provided an overall explanation of the policies on the agenda and explained that they are a result of requirement in JBH's contract with the State. He explained the he would like to give the Directors time to provide input on these policies before they are presented to the Board for approval.</p> <p>The Mental Health Director's suggested that JBH create an summary explanation on each of the policies as to why they are being created or amended, what statutes or contract requirements are tied to the policies and actually provide that documentation.</p>			
Financial Report				
	<p>Mike Sewitsky provided a detailed report on the Acute Care fund balances from both OHP and Indigent for the mid-year 6 month review.</p> <p>Dave Bast explained that the CRC Utilization Report that was provided was to help review the actual utilization of the Crisis Resolutions Centers and to begin discussing how contracts should be written, how to assess the utilization, ways to use those funds more wisely; while recognizing that there are different processes between the counties.</p> <p>There was discussion on what was being used in the CRC Utilization figures and, depending on what is being used, how it can affect the percentages. The time period that was assessed was also discussed and that it should perhaps be for a longer duration. Several other factors were discussed in the use of Crisis Resolution Centers such as an alternative to the hospital and a safety net when other resources are no longer available.</p>			
Public Comment	<p>Ann Lynn asked the committee what they would like to do on clarifying some of the critical issues; plan something at this point or start an email dialogue on what to do next. The committee discussed having a full day meeting with an agenda to go through the various issues and include other county staff in the meeting.</p> <p>There was a suggestion for some time to discuss training opportunities. The group also suggested that some administrative dollars be used to educate the region on understanding Federally Qualified Health Centers, such as understanding their contracts.</p>	None	N/A	N/A

Meeting adjourned at 12:15 p.m.