



**JBH Regional CMHP Director's Advisory Committee Meeting  
Monday, April 27, 2009 – 10:30 – 12:00 a.m.  
JBH Conference Room**

**Committee Members:** Ann Lynn, Klamath County; Maureen Graham, Jackson County; Joe Adair, Curry County; Ginger Swan, Coos County; Kim Miller, Options

**JBH Staff:** Dave Bast, Bob Furlow, Mike Sewitsky, Patty Terhune, Raetta Daws

**Other:** Jeff Krolick, Options; Tamara Rose, Klamath County

**Ann Lynn called the meeting to order at 10:32.**

Topic	Key Discussion Points	Action/Task/Decision	Responsible Person	Due Date
<b>Approval of 03/16/09 Meeting Minutes</b>	Ann Lynn asked if there were any changes or corrections to the minutes, of which there were none. Ginger Swan motioned to approve the minutes as presented and Joe Adair seconded. Motion approved by all.	None	N/A	N/A
<b>Funding for Training Requests</b>	<p>Dave Bast explained that at the last board meeting they picked up on the recommendation of the Regional Reinvestment Grant Committee for a separate process and funding for training requests. He also explained what the process had been in the past.</p> <p>Mike explained stated that a training reserve account would be created and separate from the Regional Reinvestment Funds. This would be a direct withhold from capitation of 1/10 of 1% annually which would be \$22,500/year. Coupled with the Regional Reinvestment Grant it would be less than what JBH was funding in years past. He also explained that it would be separate from JBH's Administrative and internal training budget.</p> <p>Kim Miller asked if the Regional Reinvestment Funding would not be used for training requests which Mike Sewitsky stated as being the direction. Bob Furlow added that in addition to that component JBH is looking at revising the policy itself into two separate areas one for the Regional Reinvestment piece and one for trainings.</p> <p>Ginger suggested that the training requests be considered through the Quality Management Committee since they know what the needs in the region are. Joe Adair explained that in the past there were region wide trainings and this training fund could be used for regional trainings. Both Ginger and Joe stated that the funding usually broke even when opening up to the contractors in the region.</p> <p>Bob Furlow explained how the training process would still be a part of the Regional Reinvestment Grant policy. The committee discussed the pros and cons of keeping the training piece under the Regional Reinvestment Grant policy versus separating it out and the various types of trainings available and/or needed.</p>		N/A	N/A

	<p>Dave summarized the discussion by stating that it appears that the preferences of the committee is to have the training piece considered by the QI committee, support for a separate budget and that trainings relevant to a project under a reinvestment grant should be built into that grant. He stated that with that advice JBH will work on a policy toward those preferences and present it the May meeting. If there is a consensus then, it will be presented to the Board of Directors. Ann Lynn asked if there was a consensus of the committee on this process, which the committee stated there was.</p>			
<p><b>Cross County Billing Policy</b></p>	<p>Dave Bast explained that there will be a meeting held on May 12 for any of the county staff that wants to discuss this policy in depth and asked Bob Furlow to comment on the policy.</p> <p>Bob Furlow explained some of the past issues in the policy and with the form and stated that these issues will be presented at a meeting on May 12 in order to wrap it up. He encouraged the committee to attend that meeting in order to work through the details. Maureen Graham requested that the policy and form be emailed to the committee.</p>			
<p><b>Integration</b></p>	<p>Dave Bast provided a copy of a draft language that would be in the State MHO contracts in and around Integration as well as proposed language in the County contracts. He added that MHO's are receiving increased pressure as to the way they sub-capitate to the counties versus having the capitation going directly to the counties. Dave Bast explained a report that has been issued about the difficulties of referrals being issued by mental health programs. He provided a recap of a presentation by Dale Jarvis at the National Council conference and offered a copy of that paper for those interested.</p> <p>Dave Bast stated that with the pilot project, two versions have been presented of which neither has been very popular. It has been explained as an "all in" project meaning that it has to be the taking of the full program and integrating it with the full-cap health plan.</p> <p>Ann Lynn asked if anything has been said about the money that has been put toward Somatic and what has happened with that.</p> <p>Maureen Graham commented that it looks like the federal program and the program being presented by AMH are very different. There was discussion around how many covered clients there actually are between a county mental health program and a fully-capitated health plan because the numbers are significantly different from each side and this could greatly affect the amount of capitation received by either side.</p> <p>The group discussed the varying understandings/perceptions of what a community mental health program is and the potential impact it can have on successfully integrating mental health with physical health.</p> <p>Dave Bast and Bob Furlow asked the committee what approach they want JBH to take on the integration process.</p> <p>Ginger Swan explained some of the things Coos County has been doing to move toward integrating mental health services with physical health services. Ann Lynn explained a grant that Klamath County has applied for to allow for some mental health resources for the physical health plans in the county.</p>			

	<p>Ginger Swan explained how Coos County is going back and creating reports on the referrals received by physicians and what is happening with them. Maureen Graham suggested that each county provide that data to JBH in regard to the referrals received by physicians and what actually happened with those referrals. Because the facts shown by the data prove not only that the referrals are being acted upon but that the physicians are being updated as to what happens with them.</p> <p>The committee voiced how it is much easier to collaborate with the fully qualified health plans in the counties versus the fully capitated health plans. The committee agreed that it would be more effective for communications to go through JBH rather than by each county. They also commented that it should be more with the fully qualified health plans and not the fully-capitated health plans.</p> <p>There was discussion around JBH applying for a pilot project but have that project be with the fully qualified health plans.</p> <p>In summary, JBH should oversee the integration process. Dave Bast encouraged the committee to read Dale Jarvis's paper and go to some of his sessions at the upcoming local conferences to get a better feel for his approach on the whole process.</p>			
<p><b>Warmline – Feedback from Mental Health Directors</b></p>	<p>Bob Furlow stated that Angel Moore has presented with JBH as well as visited some of the counties. He stated that JBH is looking for feedback from the counties. Coos County and Josephine County is already using it. Klamath County already has a similar program in place. Jackson County looked into using them but the prices have since gone up and they really don't have someone that can act as a leader. The committee asked that JBH get clarification from Warmline about the actual costs and needs as a region.</p> <p>As a side note, Dave Bast updated the committee on not being able to get a contract with the Oregon Family Support Network for peer support. He explained that JBH is going to look at reinstating a peer support position at the region.</p>	<p>JBH to get clarification from Warmline about the actual costs and needs as a region.</p>		
<p><b>Revised Children's Utilization Management Policy and Acute Care Utilization Management Policy</b></p>	<p>Dave Bast provided a recap of when the plan was approved by the board with a pledge to be open to revisions as needed. He explained that JBH has been working with the Providers over the last year on revising the plans.</p> <p>Bob Furlow provided a draft copy of each plan and explained that all the substantive issues have been taken care of as well as some house keeping items in the plan. He explained that is being shared with the committee for their review and that it will be presented to the Board of Directors at their May meeting for their approval.</p>			
<p><b>Indian Health Service/Stimulus Package</b></p>	<p>Dave Bast explained that the new 2010 contracts for MHOs will have language that will spell out that if we have native American members they will be allowed to seek services from Indian Health Services.</p> <p>Bob Furlow provided some history on this subject. He explained how the provisions state that if there is a native American seeking services how the referral process will work. Some counties explained that they already have relationships with some of the tribes and they would contract with them directly. Bob Furlow closed by saying that JBH will continue to update the committee on the process</p>			

<b>Discussion on the unknown of future rates</b>	<p>Mike Sewitsky explained that it appears there will be three cuts incrementally; 3%, November 5%, December 6%; total of 14% of the total pie which would eliminate the increase received in January 2009.</p> <p>Dave Bast commented that this is one of the reasons JBH did not want to increase rates until more was known. He asked the committee for feedback on if JBH should increase the rates for the Providers knowing this information. Consensus of the committee was to not increase rates.</p> <p>Mike Sewitsky clarified that these cuts are just OHP and these are from the proposed AMH budget. Bob Furlow added to that what some of the expectations are in the budget process.</p>			
<b>Financial Update</b>	Tabled to the Board Meeting following this meeting.	None	N/A	N/A
<b>Other Topics</b>	Ginger Swan explained some of the issues with the 90-day claims submission requirements around those with the third party. Bob Furlow explained that there is an initial 90-day window but that there is an added provision for such extenuating circumstances. This helped clarify the concern with the initial 90-day requirement.	None	N/A	N/A
<b>April Board Agenda</b>	Dave Bast explained that the Board is working on a new IGA and that one county has signed but another county has come back with some requested language changes. He encouraged the Directors to work with their Counties if they haven't yet reviewed because JBH's council is revisiting the language.			
<b>JBH Board Update</b>	Not discussed.			

<b>Public Comment</b>	None.	None	N/A	N/A
-----------------------	-------	------	-----	-----

Joe Adair motioned to adjourn and Maureen Graham seconded. Meeting adjourned at 12:07 a.m.